SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, MAY 27, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California convened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on May 27, 2003, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown, and Moreno.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Gail Gray, Deputy Clerk.

S103340 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

V.

Julian Jesus Reynoso, Defendant and Appellant

S103343 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

V.

John Paul Reynoso, Defendant and Appellant

Cause called. Alisa M. Weisman argued for Appellant John Reynoso.

Kim Malcheski argued for Appellant Julian Reynoso.

David A. Lowe, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Weisman replied.

Cause submitted.

Justice Ming W. Chin, not participating in consideration of the following case, did not take the bench.

The Honorable Conrad Lee Rushing, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Sixth District, sitting on the following case under assignment by the Chairperson of the Judicial Council, joined the Court at the bench.

SAN FRANCISCO MAY 27, 2003 814

S110662 Southern California Edison Company, Plaintiff and Appellee

V.

Loretta M. Lynch, et al., Defendants and Appellees The Utility Reform Network, Defendant-Intervenor and Appellant And Companion Cases

Cause called. Ronald L. Olson argued for Appellee Southern California Edison Company.

Gary M. Cohen argued for Appellee California P.U.C.

Michael J. Strumwasser argued for Appellant Utility Reform Network.

Mr. Olson replied.

Cause submitted.

Justice Rushing, not participating in the following matters, did not join the bench. The Court is rejoined at the bench by Justice Chin. All other officers were present as before shown.

S105781 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

V.

Victor Rodriguez Montes, Defendant and Appellant

Cause called. Mathew Chan, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Richard A. Levy argued for Appellant.

Mr. Chan replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

SAN FRANCISCO MAY 27, 2003 815

S111138 In re Celine R. et al., Persons Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

Kern County Department of Human Services, Plaintiff and Respondent

V.

Crystal M., et al., Appellants

Cause called. John Dodd opened argument for Appellants.

William Wesley Patton, appearing for Amicus Curiae Whittier

Legal Policy Clinic, continued argument for Appellants.

Susan Marie Gill, Deputy Kern County Counsel, argued for Respondent.

Mr. Dodd replied.

Cause submitted.

S106586 Rhina Mejia, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Danilo Reed et al., Defendants and Respondents

Cause called. Helen E. Williams argued for Respondent Danilo Reed.

John H. Blake opened argument for Appellant.

Douglas B. Schwab, appearing for Amicus Curiae Jeffrey W.

Little, continued argument for Appellant.

Ms. Williams replied.

Cause submitted.

S032832 The People, Respondent

V.

Omar Fuentes Martinez, Appellant

Cause called. Kathy Chávez argued for Appellant.

Carlson M. LeGrand, Deputy Attorney General, argued for Respondent.

Ms. Chávez replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, May 28, 2003.

SAN FRANCISCO MAY 27, 2003 816

S026040

PEOPLE v. VIEIRA (RICHARD JOHN)

Extension of time granted

to July 28, 2003 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Richard L. Rubin's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 7/26/2003.

S026223

PEOPLE v. SMITH (GREGORY SCOTT)

Extension of time granted

to July 28, 2003 to file appellant's reply brief.

S114375

A096083 First Appellate District,

Division Five

PEOPLE v. BRAXTON

Extension of time granted

to June 6, 2003 to file respondent's opening brief on the merits.

S116132

BAR ADMISSION (FEBRUARY 2003)

General Bar admission order filed

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted as attorneys at law in all courts of the State of California upon their taking the prescribed oath before a competent officer on or before May 27, 2003, and within the time limits specified in Rule IX of the Rules Regulating Admissions to Practice Law in California, is hereby granted